

SELF-EVALUATION REPORT FORM OF TRAINING FOR CITIZENS AND PUBLIC SECTOR¹

Project title	Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries
Project acronym	NatRisk
Project reference number	573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Coordinator	University of Nis
Project start date	October 15, 2016
Project duration	36 months

Type of event	Training
Venue	University of Nis, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Aleksandra Medvedeva 14, Nis
Date	18-19 April 2019
Organizer	University of Nis, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture
Reporting date	22 April 2019
Report author(s)	Milan Gocic

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

¹ This form has to be filled by training organiser and sent on e-mail address: natriskuni@gmail.com five days after training.

TRAINING DESCRIPTION

with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the training	30
Participants (organisations)	University of Nis, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture
Training description:	
<p>This document reports the second Training for citizens and public sector of the Erasmus+ Capacity Building in the Field of Higher Education project „Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in Western Balkan countries“ (NatRisk), held at the University of Nis (UNI), Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture on the 18th and 19th of April 2019. The training was chaired by Assoc. Prof. Milan Gocić. The objective was to introduce to participants the most relevant issues of natural disasters in Serbia and how to prevent them.</p> <p>Thirty participants from twelve institutions took place at the two-day training.</p> <p>First day</p> <p>After the participants’ registration which started at 09:30, Slaviša Trajković, vice-dean at the Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, welcomed the participants. Milan Gocić presented the NatRisk project and achieved results. He also highlighted the possibility of SMS for students as well as student internships.</p> <p>Milan Gocić presented classification of natural disasters and highlighted the most important on the territory of the Republic of Serbia</p> <p>According to the defined agenda, Slaviša Trajković presented drought as natural disasters and how to quantify it.</p> <p>Elefterija Zlatanović presented earthquakes in Serbia and bodies related to disasters in Serbia.</p> <p>First day finished at 16:30.</p> <p>Second day</p> <p>Zoran Bonić introduced landslides and how we should react when they happened. Mladen Milanovic presented floods in Serbia and highlighted direct and indirect consequences they produce.</p> <p>Participants and trainers summarized impressions and concluded that the similar trainings should be organised more often.</p> <p>Second day finished at 15:00.</p>	

Attachments

Attendance sheet (pdf)	Attendance lists
Photos (jpg)	Photos
News form (pdf)	
Deliverable (pdf)	
Presentations (pdf)	NatRisk presentation Slavisa Trajkovic - Suše u Srbiji Zoran Bonic - Klizista Elefterija Zlatanovic - Zemljotresi Mladen Mialnovic - Poplave
Other personal remarks	

Organisation details

Invitation sent to	45
Date of training material release	18 April 2019
Date of participants list's finalisation	18 April 2019
Number of participants (according to the participants list)	30
Comments	

Problems encountered during the training preparation phase

Please add your comments, if any:

Strengths and limitations of the training (please include comments received)

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities by participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Good interaction and experience exchange between participants ➤ Presentations were very useful ➤ All presented topics were of the great importance
Suggestions for the improvement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ More institutions should take participation in the future
Any further comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The organisation was at the highest level


Evaluation details

Results of evaluation the general organisation of the training

Description					
The general opinion is that the training was excellent organised.					
Table(s)/Figure(s)					
Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Relevance of the topic	0	0	0	24.1	75.9
Usefulness of the acquired knowledge	0	0	0	31.0	69.0
Rating of the methodology of working with participants	0	0	0	27.6	72.4

Rating of prepared training materials	0	0	0	20.7	79.3
Rating organization	0	0	0	10.3	89.7
Rating of working conditions	0	0	0	17.2	82.8
Rating interactivity in training	0	0	0	24.1	75.9
Rating transferability of acquired knowledge	0	0	0	20.7	79.3
Rating of satisfaction of participation in training	0	0	0	17.2	82.8
Assessing the fulfilment of expectations regarding training	0	0	0	17.2	82.8
The overall rating training	0	0	0	6.9	93.1

The general organisation of the training



Results of evaluation the general participant expectations


Description

Participants agreed in total that the training can help them in the future.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Overall impression	0	0	0	37.9	62.1
Manner of presentation	0	0	0	17.2	82.8
Scope of material	0	0	0	20.7	79.3
Tempo	0	0	0	17.2	82.8
Examples	0	0	0	13.8	86.2
Practical exercises	0	0	0	17.2	82.8
My expectations were met	0	0	0	3.4	96.6

General participant expectations



Results of evaluation of trainer


Description

The quality of presentations and prepared material were evaluated with high marks.

Table(s)/Figure(s)

Grading	Poor	OK	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Quality of presentations	0	0	0	31.0	69.0
Quality of prepared material	0	0	0	31.0	69.0
Relationship with participants	0	0	0	27.6	72.4
Enabling active participation of participants	0	0	0	13.8	86.2
Quality of the training organization	0	0	0	10.3	89.7
Overall rating of trainer	0	0	0	6.9	93.1

Evaluation of trainer



Please indicate your suggestions for further training's improvement:

Location, date

Nis, 22 April 2019

Signature